

REMARKS:

The following remarks are submitted as a full and complete response to the Office Action issued on December 28, 2009. Applicants note that the previous rejections of claims 1, 3 and 7-9 under 35 U.S.C. §§102(e) and 102(a) have been withdrawn. Claims 1-3 and 7-9 are currently pending. Reconsideration of all outstanding rejections is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

Provisional Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(f)

The Patent Office has provisionally rejected claims 1-3 and 7-9 under 35 U.S.C. §102(f) alleging that the current inventive entity: Kimoon Kim, Jin Kang, Sang Jon, Selvapalam Narayanan, and Dong Oh, does not appear to invent the claimed subject matter. The Patent Office's allegation seems to be based on the two reasons: (1) the Applicants declaration seems to contradict the certified translation of Korean Patent Application No. 10-2003-0045523 ("Korean Application") including claims 1-3 and 7-9 of the present application, but listing Woo Jeon (now deleted from the present application) as an inventor; and (2) while the Korean Application also includes claims 4-6, it does not list Kangkyun Baek as an inventor. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

With respect to the reason (1), as correctly noted by the Patent Office, the Korean Application includes claims corresponding to claims 4-6 of the present application as well as claims corresponding to claims 1-3 and 7-9 of the present application. In the Declaration filed on June 8, 2009, Applicants stated that Woo (Song) Jeon is the co-inventor of claims 4-6. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that contrary to the Patent Office's allegation, the Korean Application listing Woo


Jeon as an inventor is consistent with the Applicants' statement in the Declaration because the Korean Application contains the claims corresponding to claims 4-6 of the present application.

Applicants understand that although the Korean Application contains the claims corresponding to claims 4-6 of the present application, Kangkyun Baek, whom Applicants identified as a co-inventor of claims 4-6 of the present application, is not listed as an inventor of the Korean Application. However, as set forth in the attached Declaration ("Declaration II"), the reason Kangkyun Baek was not listed as an inventor of the Korean Application was Applicants' oversight and error at the time of filing the Korean Application. This oversight and error were only discovered during a process of filing the present application. Thus, the omission of Kangkyun Baek from the inventors of the Korean Application, which was caused by our oversight and error, has nothing to do with his contribution to the conception and reduction to practice of the subject matter of claims 4-6. Since the reason for the absence of Kangkyun Baek in the inventive entity of the Korean Application is clarified, Applicants respectfully submit that there is no remaining issue with respect to the inventorship of claims 1-3 and 7-9 of the present application. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

In light of the foregoing, Applicants submit that all outstanding rejections have been overcome, and the instant application is in condition for allowance. Thus, Applicants respectfully request early allowance of the instant application. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2135.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 28, 2010

By: 
Jeffrey L. Ilnen
Registration No. 28,957
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.
1425 K Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202)783-6040
jhynds@rfem.com
Attorney for Applicants

JAH/JMK/jpf